IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST NOVEMBER 2007 / 30TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 27021 of 2007(P)

PETITIONER:

VIMAL BASU, AGED 22 YEARS,
S/O RAMANAN, ALAVIL HOUSE,
CHERPLACHERRY P.O., OTTAPALAM TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.SANTHEEP ANKARATH

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE SECRETARY TO DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.**

2. **THE KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, TRIVANDRUM, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BEJOY CHANDRAN for R1
BY ADV. SRI.ALEXANDER THOMAS,SC,KPSC for R2

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 21/11/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.GIRI,J.

W.P (C) No. 27021 of 2007

Dated this the 21st November, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner applied for the post of Surveyor Gr.II in the Department of Survey and Land Records. The qualifications prescribed for the post is a pass in S.S.LC. Examination or its equivalent qualification and an I.T.I. (Surveyor Trade) Certificate or its alternate qualification. The petitioner possesses S.S.L.C and also possesses a Trade Certificate in the trade of Surveyor, as evidenced by Exhibit-P2. It seems that by a mistake, while filling up the application the petitioner had only mentioned S.S.L.C. as the qualification possessed by him. The said application was rejected on the ground that the petitioner did not specify the possession of I.T.I certificate also as part of his qualification. The rejection memo is challenged in this writ petition.

2. In the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the 2nd respondent , it is mentioned that there is a proposal to include all applicants similarly situated, provisionally, for the written test and this will be subject to strict conditions as laid down in the notification. Reference was also made to the fact that the

petitioner had also applied for the post of Draughtsman Gr.II in Survey and Land Records, pursuant to the notification dated 26.6.2007. That application is also processed.

3. Learned Counsel for the P.S.C. submits that similar applications which suffer from technical defects are being processed separately.

After having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned counsel for the P.S.C. and the learned Government Pleader, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider the case of the petitioner along with that of similarly situated persons and take appropriate decision thereon notwithstanding the rejection memo, as expeditiously as possible.

(V.GIRI, JUDGE)

ma

K.THANKAPPAN,J

CRL.A. NO.92 OF 1999

ORDER

25th May, 2007